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A language that doesn't affect the way you think about programming, is not worth knowing.

A language that doesn't affect the way you think about programming, is not worth knowing.

- Alan Perlis


## why?

- get started in Haskell
- speak intelligently about Haskell
- get a feel for Haskell
- learn from my mistakes
especially if you typically use dynamic languages


## me

- not an expert
- working > 1 year in Haskell
- learned on the job
- prefer dynamic languages
- appreciate static checking
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## Haskell

- static \& strong type system
- purely functional
- lazy evaluation
- significant whitespace
- compiled
- garbage collected
- pattern matching
- from academia (but still practical)
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## type system

- static typing
- types known at compile time
- some explicit
- some inferred
- thrown away at compile time
- strong typing
- all expressions have a type if the compiler cannot determine the exact type, compilation fails
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## purely functional \& lazy

- functions are values (with types)
- higher order
- no mutable state by default
- new values
- names can be used once in a scope
- lazy evaluation
- "nothing" computed until needed separate out calculations from side effects


## Programming in Haskell is like having a logician on your shoulder.

## Programming in Haskell is like having a logician on your shoulder.

- me
stuff that is type safe
stuff that you want to do
stuff that is type safe
stuff that you want to do
stuff that is type safe
stuff that you want to do

http://www.flickr.com/photos/60414609@N00/5298363220/


## constants

$\mathrm{a}=10$
pi $=3.14159$
name = "Eric"
pisquared $=$ pi * pi

## great for fib

fib $0=1$
fib $1=1$
fib $n=$ fib $(n-1)+$ fib $(n-2)$

## lists

$\begin{array}{ll}\operatorname{map}-[] & =[] \\ \operatorname{map} f(x: x S) & =f x: \operatorname{map} f x S\end{array}$
concat [] $12=12$
concat (l:ls) $12=1$ : concat ls 12
types
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## types

## a :: Int <br> $a=10$

name : : String
name = "Eric"
fib : : Int -> Int
fib $0=1$
fib $1=1$
fib $n=$ fib ( $n-1$ ) + fib ( $n-2$ )

## types

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}:: \text { Int } \\
& \mathrm{a}=10
\end{aligned}
$$

name :: String
name = "Eric"
fib : : Int -> Int
fib 0 = 1
fib $1=1$
fib $n=$ fib ( $n-1$ ) + fib ( $n-2$ )
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map _ [] = []
$\operatorname{map} \mathrm{f}(x: x s)=f x: \operatorname{map} \mathrm{f} x$

## functions all the way down
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## functions all the way down

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { double } x=2 \star x \\
& \text { double }=2 \star
\end{aligned}
$$

(*) : : Int $\rightarrow$ Int $\rightarrow$ Int
$(*):: \operatorname{Int} \rightarrow$ (Int $\rightarrow$ Int)

## functions all the way down

double $x=2 * x$
double = 2 *
(*) : : Int $\rightarrow$ Int $\rightarrow$ Int
(*) : : Int $\rightarrow$ (Int $\rightarrow$ Int)
double : : Int $\rightarrow$ Int
(2 *) : : Int $\rightarrow$ Int

## scoping

- function application
- left to right

$$
\text { f } \mathrm{g} \mathrm{~h} 1==>(((\mathrm{f} \text { g) h) } 1)
$$

map map square [[1,2],[3,4]]

$$
==>(((\operatorname{map} \operatorname{map}) \text { square })[[1,2],[3,4]])
$$

map (map square) [[1,2],[3,4]]

## take a break

we are about to embark on a journey deep into the type system

http://www.flickr.com/photos/samrich2003/5654034532/

## you cannot ignore types

- in Java, you look at types and think "this method takes a string, an int, and returns a list"
- that's all you need to think about
- in Haskell, this is enough to get you started but you will hit a ceiling
master the types or they will master you
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## typical dynamic class diagram
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## Maybe

- ever thrown a null pointer exception?
- Maybe is the answer
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
find : : (a Col) $\rightarrow$ [a] $\rightarrow$ Maybe a
find _ [] = Nothing

find p (_:xs) = find $p$ xs


## a little safety

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { case find even }[1,3,5,7] \text { of } \\
& \text { Just } n \quad \rightarrow \ldots \\
& \text { Nothing } \rightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

- compiler will complain if you patter match and forget to check for all cases
- but there are still holes
- fromJust :: Maybe a $\rightarrow$ a
- errors if it's Nothing
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## example please!

## type class Show

class Show a where show :: a $\rightarrow$ String
instance Show String where show $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{S}$
instance Show Int where show = intToString

## type class Num

class Num a where
$(+):: a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$
(*) : : a $\rightarrow \mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{a}$
$(-):: a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$
(/) :: a $\rightarrow \mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{a}$
instance Num Int where
$(+)$ = intPlus
(*) = intTimes
(-) = intMinus
(/) = intDivide

## Monad

- don't panic
- not that hard
- a way to compose actions
- type class
- with type parameter
- IO is a special Monadic type handed down from the gods (the Haskell compiler/runtime)
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## Monad type class

class Monad m where
-- bind (or then)
( $\gg=$ ) : : ma -> (a -> mb) -> mb
-- create a new value in the Monad
return :: a -> ma

## Maybe is a Monad

- Maybe is common. You don't want to indent every time you need to check for Nothing.
- Monads can help.
instance Monad Maybe where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Nothing } \quad \gg=-=\text { Nothing } \\
& \text { (Just a) } \gg=\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f} \text { a } \\
& \text { return }=\text { Just }
\end{aligned}
$$

## using our Monad

readint : : String $\rightarrow$ Maybe Int
index : : [a] $\rightarrow$ Int $\rightarrow$ Maybe a
readint $s$ >>= index $[1,2,3]$

## dev environment

- Haskell Platform
- emacs (or any text editor)
- haskell-mode
- insert type signatures
- interactive shell
- vim has syntax highlighting
- haskellmode
- apt-get install cabal-install


## books and tutorials

- Real World Haskell
- free online
- Learn you a Haskell for Great Good
- free online
- I cannot recommend a Monad tutorial
- The Monad Reader (newsletter)


## resources

- hoogle : search engine for Haskell libraries
- understands types and special characters
- hackage : Haskell library repository
- versioned libraries with dependencies
- wild west


## final tips

- Haskell is used for two things
- programming
- theorem proving
- More blog posts are written for the second one
- Compile often; make the compiler your friend
- Learn the standard libraries
- Model your problem in types

